

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/614,682	Applicant(s) CIARELLI ET AL.
	Examiner Frederick J. Parker	Art Unit 1762

All Participants:

(1) Frederick J. Parker.

Status of Application: PCE

(3) _____.

(2) Gordon Harris Jr

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10-3-05

Time: 3 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

—

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

—

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

The Examiner inquired as to the meaning of the top of P.18, Spec. We agreed it was unclear and to specify the internal pinch valve portion 210 was cleaned in 540. We also agreed arrow showing elements 540 in Fig. 6 was confusing and to substitute brackets instead, per TP [0049] support. In claim 17, specifying on L.10 the purge fitting between the color valve and powder source was agreed upon, as was deletion of "adapted to be". Such specificity was noted to clearly distinguish over the prior art.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)